
Testing process and levels

Zoltan Micskei, Istvan Majzik

Budapest University of Technology and Economics Fault Tolerant Systems Research Group





Main topics of the course

Overview (1)

V&V techniques, Critical systems

- Static techniques (2)
 - Verifying specifications
 - Verifying source code
- Dynamic techniques: Testing (7)
 - Developer testing, Test design techniques

• Testing process and levels, Test generation, Automation

- System-level verification (3)
 - Verifying architecture, Dependability analysis
 - Runtime verification



TESTING PROCESS




Learning outcomes


 Explain the activities and tasks in the typical test process (K2)




Overview of testing concepts







м Ú Е G Y Е Т Е М 1782





Test strategy

Guidelines for

- What methodology?
- What kinds of tests?
- What tools?
- o Who will test?
- o Exit criteria?

What documentation?

- Possible example:
 - Test-driven development
 - Module & system
 - JUnit & GUI Tester
 - Developers & test engineers
 - At least 90% statement
 coverage & every use
 case requirement
 - Test Report according to IEEE 829



Test plan

Mapping test strategy to the actual test project

- Test objectives
- Test objects, test environment
- Resources, roles,
- Schedules

- Defining test phases
 - Length of phase
 - Exit criteria
 - Measuring quality of testing



Test documentation

Level Test Plan Outline (full example)


- 1. Introduction
- 1.1. Document identifier
- 1.2. Scope
- 1.3. References
- 1.4. Level in the overall sequence
- 1.5. Test classes and overall test conditions
- 2. Details for this level of test plan
- 2.1 Test items and their identifiers
- 2.2 Test Traceability Matrix
- 2.3 Features to be tested
- 2.4 Features not to be tested
- 2.5 Approach
- 2.6 Item pass/fail criteria
- 2.7 Suspension criteria and resumption requirements
- 2.8 Test deliverables
- 3. Test management
- 3.1 Planned activities and tasks; test progression
- 3.2 Environment/infrastructure
- 3.3 Responsibilities and authority
- 3.4 Interfaces among the parties involved
- 3.5 Resources and their allocation
- 3.6 Training
- 3.7 Schedules, estimates, and costs
- 3.8 Risk(s) and contingency(s)
- 4. General
- 4.1 Quality assurance procedures
- 4.2 Metrics
- 4.3 Test coverage
- 4.4 Glossary
- 4.5 Document change procedures and history

- IEEE 829 Standard for Software and System Test Documentation (1998)
 - Test Plan (SPACEDIRT: Scope, People, Approach, Criteria, Environment, Deliverables, Incidentals, Risks, Tasks)
 - Test specifications: Test
 Design, Test Case, Test
 Procedure Specifications
 - Test reporting: Test Item
 Transmittal Report, Test Log,
 Test Incident Report, Test
 Summary Report




Google "10 minute test plan"


- Why do write a plan that is not used and updated?
- Keep only the most important
 - Attributes, Components, Capabilities (ACC)




Source: Google Test Analytics -Now in Open Source













м й е с у е т е м 1 7











М Ű Е G Y Е Т Е М 1782






М Ű Е G Y Е Т Е М 178












MÚEGYETEM 1782














м Ú Е G Y Е Т Е М 1782





м Ú Е G Y Е Т Е М 1 7 8 2


TESTING PRACTICES



Testing @ Microsoft

- Software Developer Engineer in Test (SDET)
- Same career paths as developers
 - Testing is not an entry position
 - Test manager is not a promotion but a different path
- "Hiring testers to pound quality into a product after it's been developed is a waste of money."
- 10 year support cycle for major releases
 O Worth investing in good test automation

"How we test software at Microsoft", Microsoft Press, ISBN 0735624259, 2008.






Testing @ Google



Roles

- Test Engineer (TE)
- Software Engineer in Test & Infrastructure (<u>SETI</u>)
 - Engineering Productivity

"The burden of quality is on the shoulders of those writing the code." "Do not hire too many testers."





Testing Quadrants

Customer Facing

Runtime Tools Functional Testing Acceptance Tests Q2	Scenarios Usability Testing World Readiness Exploratory Testing Take home / Beta Q3
Q1 Unit Testing Code Coverage Static Analysis	Q4 Code Churn Analysis Performance Testing Security / Privacy Testing Stress Testing

Technology Facing

Source: http://angryweasel.com/blog/riffing-on-the-quadrants/







Learning outcomes

Distinguish the scope of different test levels (K2)

 Describe the different integration testing approaches (K2)

Recall the goals of system verification and system validation testing (K1)



Characteristics of tests in different levels


Recommendations from *How Google Tests Software*:

	Small	Medium	Large
Execution time	< 100 ms	< 1 sec	As fast as poss.
Time limit (kill)	1 minute	5 minutes	1 hour

Resource	Small	Medium	Large
Network (socket)	Mocked	only localhost	Yes
Database	Mocked	Yes	Yes
File access	Mocked	Yes	Yes
System call	No	Not recommended	Yes
Multiple threads	Not recommended	Yes	Yes
Sleep	No	Yes	Yes
System properties	No	Yes	Yes



Testing and test design in the V-model





Integration testing

Testing the **interactions** of modules

Motivation

 The system-level interaction of modules may be incorrect despite the fact that all modules are correct

Methods


- Functional testing: Testing scenarios
 - Sometimes the scenarios are part of the specification
- O (Structure based testing at module level)

Approaches

- "Big bang" testing: integration of all modules
- Incremental testing: stepwise integration of modules




Integration testing approaches





"Big bang" testing


- Integration of all modules and testing using the external interfaces of the integrated system
- External test executor
- Based of the functional specification of the system
- To be applied only in case of small systems





Incremental integration and testing


- In case of complex systems (supports debugging)
- Adapts to module hierarchy (calling levels)





Top-down integration testing


- Modules are tested from the caller modules
- Stubs replace the lower-level modules that are called
- Requirement-oriented testing
- Module modification: modifies the testing of lower levels





Bottom-up integration testing

- Modules use already tested modules
- Test executor is needed
- Testing is performed in parallel with integration
- Module modification: modifies the testing of upper levels





Top down vs. bottom up

Top down

- + Requirement oriented
- + Working "skeleton" early
- Harder to create stubs than drivers
- Tests inputs are far from module to integrate

Bottom up

- + Integration oriented, more constructive
- + Easier to control and observe the system
- System is assembled only at the end




Integration with the runtime environment

- Motivation: It is hard to construct stubs for the runtime environment
 - Platform services, RT-OS, task scheduler, ...
- Strategy:
 - 1. Top-down integration of the application modules to the level of the runtime environment
 - 2. Bottom-up testing of the runtime environment
 - Isolation testing of functions (if necessary)
 - "Big bang" testing with the lowest level of the application module hierarchy
 - **3.** Integration of the application with the runtime environment, finishing top-down integration



Testing and test design in the V-model






System testing

Testing on the basis of the system specification


- Characteristics:
 - Performed after hardware-software integration
 - Testing functional specification + testing extra-functional properties
- Testing aspects:
 - Data integrity
 - User profile (workload)
 - Checking application conditions of the system (resource usage, saturation)
 - Testing fault handling



Types of system tests



Testing and test design in the V-model





Validation testing

- Goal: Testing in real environment
 - User requirements are taken into account
 - Non-specified expectations come to light
 - Reaction to unexpected inputs/conditions is checked
 - Events of low probability may appear
- Timing aspects
 - Constraints and conditions of the real environment
 - Real-time testing and monitoring is needed
- Environment simulation
 - If given situations cannot be tested in a real environment (e.g., protection systems)
 - Simulators shall be validated somehow



EXTRA MATERIAL: UML 2 TESTING PROFILE (U2TP)



U2TP: UML 2 Testing Profile (OMG, 2004)

- Able to capture all needed information for functional black-box testing (specification of test artifacts)
 - Mapping rules to TTCN-3, JUnit
- Language (notation) and not a method (how to test)

Packages (concept groups):

- Test Architecture
 - Elements and relationship involved in test
 - Importing the UML design model of the SUT
- Test Data
 - Structures and values to be processed in a test
- Test Behavior
 - Observations and activities during testing
- Time Concepts
 - Timer (start, stop, read, timeout), TimeZone (synchronized)




U2TP Test Architecture package

Identification of main components:

- SUT: System Under Test
 - Characterized by interfaces to control and observation
 - System, subsystem, component, class, object
- Test Component: part of the test system (e.g., simulator)
 - Realizes the behavior of a test case
 (Test Stimulus, Test Observation, Validation Action, Log Action)
- Test Context: collaboration of test architecture elements
 - Initial test configuration (test components)
 - Test control (decision on execution, e.g., if a test fails)
- Scheduler: controls the execution of test components
 - Creation and destruction of test components
- Arbiter: calculation of final test results
 - E.g., threshold on the basis of test component verdicts



U2TP Test Architecture example



<u>м Ú е с</u> у е т е м 1 7 8 2




U2TP Test Data package

- Identification of types and values for test (sent and received data)
 - Wildcards (* or ?)
 - Test Parameter
 - Stimulus and observation
 - Argument
 - Concrete physical value
 - Data Partition: Equivalence class for a given type
 - Class of physical values, e.g., valid names
 - Data Selector: Retrieving data out of a data pool
 - Operating on contained values or value sets
 - Templates



U2TP Test Data example






U2TP Test Behavior package

- Specification of default/expected behavior
- Identification of behavioral elements:
 - Test Stimulus: test data sent to SUT
 - Test Observation: reactions from the SUT
 - Verdict: pass, fail, error, inconclusive values
 - Actions: Validation Action (inform Arbiter), Log Action
- Test Case: Specifies one case to test the SUT
 - Test Objective: named element
 - Test Trace: result of test execution
 - Messages exchanged
 - Verdict



U2TP Test Behavior example




<u>MÚE</u>GYETEM 1782



Example: BlueTooth roaming

System under test:



Test objective:


Slave Roaming Layer functionality

Monitoring link quality


Connecting to a different master




Example: Components



<u>м и́е</u>дуетем 1782




Test package



Test context



Example: Test configuration and control




Test configuration

EGYETEM


Test control






<u> М Ű Е G Y Е Т Е М 1782</u> m3: Master

Test scenarios (details)



Sequence diagrams



Default behaviours specified to catch the observations that lead to verdicts

• Here: Processing timer events

