4th Seminar – Analysis and Testing of Models

1 Static analysis of process models

Let's analyse the following process model.



- a. What conditions will ensure that the process model is fully specified?
- b. What further conditions will ensure that the process model is also deterministic?
- c. What further conditions will ensure that the process model is also free of deadlock?
- d. What further conditions will ensure that the process model will eventually terminate?
- e. Is the process model well-structured? If not, then how could we make it into an equivalent, but well-structured model? Does this help with the previous problems?

2 Dynamic analysis with testing

We have the following *requirements* for function f():

 $\mathbf{R1}$ Function f() must produce at least one output during its execution.

 $\mathbf{R2}$ Function f() must terminate eventually regardless of the input sequence.

R3 The last output produced by function f() must be 0.

The following code demonstrates a possible implementation of the function in the C programming language:

```
1 int readInput();
 2
   void writeOutput(int out);
 3
 4
   void f() {
 5
     int x = readInput();
 6
     int y = readInput();
 7
     int z = x + y;
 8
     writeOutput(x * y);
 9
     while (x > 0 && y > 0) {
       if (1 == readInput() % 2) {
10
11
         v--;
12
         z-
13
       } else
               ſ
14
         x-
            -:
15
         y++;
16
       }
17
       writeOutput(z + x * y * y - x - y);
18
     }
19 }
```

Let's verify the correctness of the function in the following steps!

- a. Model the control flow of $\tt f()$ as a process model!
- b. Why can we be sure that requirement R1 holds?
- c. Why can we be sure that requirement R2 holds?
- d. (Extra task.) Create a state machine that is equivalent with function f(). Model the readInput() calls as an input channel and the writeOutput() calls as an output channel. Model the termination of the function by producing a special output in the state machine while transitioning into an absorbing state (a state without outgoing transitions).

- e. We are verifying requirement R3 using testing. Our test case is the input sequence $t_1 = \langle 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, ... \rangle$. Do we detect any errors?
- f. Calculate *instruction coverage* on the process model: during the execution of the test case what portion of the tested code was executed. How does this metric relate to the control flow model?
- g. Our second test case for verifying R3 is the input sequence $t_2 = \langle 1, 2, 4, 1, 2, 4, ... \rangle$. Do we detect any errors? How much is the combined instruction coverage of the test suite consisting of the two above test cases?
- h. (Extra task.) What kind of test coverage metrics can be calculated on the previous state machine?
- i. Create a *test oracle* state machine that can decide whether R3 holds or not based on the input and output sequence and termination of f(). What does the oracle do in case of the previous test inputs?
- j. Give a test case that detects an error in the program! What implicated that our previous test cases weren't perfect and we needed to extend them?
- k. (Homework.) Let's add the input sequences $t_3 = \langle 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ... \rangle$ and $t_4 = \langle 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, ... \rangle$ to our test case collection. Do we detect any errors? How does the instruction coverage change?
- 1. Specify the exact conditions under which requirement R3 doesn't hold and recommend some fixes!