


Metamodeling and Domain Specific Modeling

Horváth Ákos
Bergmann Gábor
Dániel Varró
István Ráth



Agenda

- Metamodeling
- Domain-Specific Modeling
- Metalevels
- Semantics

DOMAIN-SPECIFIC MODELING LANGUAGES IN ENGINEERING PRACTICE

Well known DSLs

- MATLAB, SQL, Erlang, Shell scripts, AWK, Verilog, YACC, R,S, Mathematica, Mata, XSLT, XMI, OCL, Template languages, QuakeC, ...

Industry standard DSMLs

- Automotive
 - AUTOSAR, MATLAB StateFlow, EAST-AADL
- Aerospace
 - AADL
- Railways
 - UML-MARTE
- Systems engineering
 - SysML, UML-FT

Technologies

- MATLAB
- Rational Software Architect

COTS


- Eclipse
 - EMF
 - openArchitectureWare
- Microsoft
 - DSL Tools (Visual Studio)
- MetaCase
 - MetaEdit+
- JetBrains MPS

Language
engineering
(industry)

- GEMS, GME, ViatraDSM

Academia


MetaEdit+



Eclipse GMF

The screenshot displays the Eclipse IDE interface for a project named 'Apollo'. The Package Explorer on the left shows a project structure with packages like 'bingo' and 'bingo.player'. The central editor shows the 'model.auml_diagram' and 'BagOfBalls.java' files. The 'BINGO' class is visible with attributes like 'DEBUG:boolean=false', 'controlPaneTitle:String', 'statusPaneTitle:String', and 'windowName:String'. The code in the editor includes a 'main()' method that prints messages and interacts with a 'RingMaster' and 'RegistrarImpl'. A tooltip for the 'getLocalHost()' method is shown, displaying its signature: 'public static InetAddress getLocalHost() throws UnknownHostException'. The right-hand side of the IDE shows a diagram view and an outline. The bottom of the IDE includes a 'Problems' and 'Javadoc' tab, and a 'Declaration' icon. The 'Brothers*IT' logo is visible in the bottom right corner.

Microsoft DSL Tools



MPS

The screenshot shows the MPS IDE interface. The main editor displays a rule definition for `typeof_InputFieldReference`. The rule is applicable for the concept `InputFieldReference` and overrides the `false` default. The rule body contains a `typeof` declaration for `inputFieldReference` that is currently set to `IntegerType`. A dropdown menu is open below the `IntegerType` text, showing a list of suggestions with their respective language packages.


```
rule typeof_InputFieldReference {
  applicable for concept = InputFieldReference as inputFieldReference
  overrides false

  do {
    typeof(inputFieldReference) ::= IntegerType
  }
}
```

IntegerConceptProperty	lang: j.m.lang.structure
IntegerConceptPropertyDeclaration	lang: j.m.lang.structure
IntegerConstant	lang: j.mps.baseLanguage
IntegerLiteral	lang: j.mps.baseLanguage
IntegerType	lang: j.mps.baseLanguage
Interface	lang: j.mps.baseLanguage
InterfaceConceptDeclaration	lang: j.m.lang.structure
InterfaceConceptReference	lang: j.m.lang.structure
InternalSequenceOperation	lang: j.m.baseLanguage.collections
IntersectOperation	lang: j.m.baseLanguage.collections

The IDE interface includes a menu bar (File, Edit, Search, View, Go To, Generate, Build, Run, Tools, Version Control, Window, Help), a toolbar, a project browser on the left, and a hierarchy view on the right. The bottom status bar shows system information: 302M of 498M.

GME



ViatraDSM

The screenshot displays the ViatraDSM Eclipse IDE interface with the following views:

- Entity-Relationship Diagram:** Shows entities E0, A2, and A1 with relationships. A palette on the right includes options like Select, Marquee, Entity, Attribute, ERModel, EntityAttribute, and AttributeForeignKey.
- Petri Net:** A Petri net diagram with places P0 (3), P5 (0), P3 (0), and P4 (0), and transitions T0, T1, T2, and T4.
- Sensoria Workflow:** A workflow diagram with components like Conveyor1, Conveyor2, Conveyor3, and a central 'link' component.
- DSM (Domain Specific Modeling):** A tree view of the model elements:
 - DSM
 - coremetamodel
 - diagram
 - domain
 - mapping
 - metamodel
 - model
 - EntityRelationship : EntityRelationshipEditor
 - PetriNet : PetriNetEditor
 - SensoriaWorkflow : SensoriaWorkflowEditor
 - gmfigraph : Domain
 - datatypes : entity
 - emf

- Outline:** Shows the Petri net model elements, including testNet and its places (P0, P3, P5, Px, V) and transitions (T0, T1, T4).
- Properties:** A table showing the 'Token count' property for the selected P0 place, with a value of 3.

Property	Value
Modeling	
Token count	3

METAMODELING

Why?

- Let's do Model-based Development!
- Create **models** that...
 - have well-defined, standardized form and meaning
 - are processable by computers
 - Storage, Parsing, Editing, Visualization,
 - Execution, Testing,
 - Analysis, Verification,
 - Translation, Transformation, Integration, Synchronization
 - are easy to use (create / understand)
- Need to design **modeling languages**

Designing modeling languages

- Core concept: **metamodeling**
 - Design methodology of modeling languages
 - Metamodel = model of a modeling language
- Language design checklist
 - **Abstract syntax** (metamodel)
 - Taxonomy and relationships of model elements
 - Well-formedness rules
 - **Semantics** (does not *strictly* belong to a language)
 - Static
 - Behavioural
 - ??? (something is missing... we'll come back later)

Abstract syntax (Metamodel)

- Metamodel = model of a modeling language
 - „Meta” = above, beyond, transcending
- Goal: to define
 - The vocabulary of concepts in the language
 - How they can be combined to form models
- Contents:
 - Definition of concepts
 - Relationships between these concepts
 - Abstraction/Specialization (Taxonomy)
 - Constraints, well-formedness rules (e.g. multiplicity)

Example


Generalization

Instantiation

Association

Class

Attribute




Metamodel

Meta (Language) level

(Instance) Model level

Object

Link



Model in abstract syntax


Well-formedness rules

- Multiplicity constraints
 - At most one: 0..1 / Many: *
 - Lower bound is often meaningful (enforcement?)
- Aggregation/Containment
 - At most one parent for each model element
- Language specific constraints:
 - Examples
 - Each state of an automaton must have a unique name
 - Transitions must connect states of their own automaton
 - The initial state is one of the states of the automaton
 - Expressed in e.g. OCL

Instantiation and Generalization


■ Classification/Typing

- inverse: Instantiation



■ Generalization / Supertyping / Abstraction


- inverse: Specialization / Subtyping / Refinement



■ More is implied than what is explicitly given


- transitive semantics
 - **self.supertypes** → **includesAll(self.supertypes.supertypes)**
- extends the typing relation
 - **self.instances** → **includesAll(self.subtypes.instances)**

Type Conformance of Edges



Type Conformance of Edges

- Subtyping of edges
 - Not allowed in e.g. UML




DOMAIN SPECIFIC MODELING


Evaluation of UML

- Advantages:
 - Standard common language
 - Visual notation
- Disadvantages:
 - Imprecise semantics
 - No single, integrated solution for all UML artifacts
 - Limited scope and flexibility
 - UML Profiles (Stereotypes)
 - For software engineers, by software engineers
 - Unified (NOT Universal) Modeling Language
 - Bloat


Example metamodel



Instance model, abstract syntax



Instance model, concrete syntax



Honeywell
keverőcsap
DN50 K_{vs} 40


Spirovent típusú
iszaplevasztó
BE 065L

Remeha Quinta kaszkád
rendszer hidraulikus váltóval

Designing modeling languages

- Language design checklist
 - **Abstract syntax** (metamodel)
 - Taxonomy and relationships of model elements
 - Well-formedness rules
 - **Semantics** (does not *strictly* belong to a language)
 - Static
 - Behavioural
 - ??? (something is missing... we'll come back later)
 - **Concrete syntax**
 - Textual notation
 - Visual notation


Relationship of concepts




Metamodel

Meta (Language) level

Model level



Abstract syntax




Concrete syntax

Textual vs. Visual

- Textual notation:
 - + Easy to write: Able to capture complex expressions
 - Difficult to read: Difficult to comprehend and manage after certain complexity
- Visual notation:
 - + Easy to read: Able to express (selected / subset of) details in an intuitive, understandable form
 - + Safe to write: Able to construct syntactically correct models
 - Difficult to write: graphical editing is slower

Example: Concrete Syntax




Graphical notation

```
request() {  
    if (state == "idle" &&  
        this.load<10)  
        state = "calculating";  
}  
response() {  
    if (state == "calculating")  
        state = "idle";  
}
```

Textual notation

Example: UML model

- <Package> geography
 - <Element Import> Boolean
 - <Element Import> String
 - <Element Import> UnlimitedNatural
 - <Element Import> Integer
 - <Class> Country
 - <Property> name : String
 - <Property> formerCapitals : City [0..*]
 - <Literal Unlimited Natural> *
 - <Literal Integer> 0
 - <Operation> coup ()
 - <Class> City
 - <Property> name : String
 - <Property> founded : Integer
 - <Association> A_country_cities
 - <Property> country : Country
 - <Literal Unlimited Natural> 1
 - <Literal Integer> 1
 - <Property> cities : City [1..*]
 - <Literal Unlimited Natural> *
 - <Literal Integer> 1



```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<uml:Package name="geography" xmi:version="2.1"
  xmlns:xmi="http://schema.omg.org/spec/XMI/2.1"
  xmlns:uml="http://www.eclipse.org/uml2/3.0.0/UML"
  xmi:id="_7qi_AS2uEd-VCP9iY9GYHg">
[... ]
<packagedElement xmi:type="uml:Class" name="Country" xmi:id="_fHicEC2vEd-VCP9iY9GYHg"
  <ownedAttribute name="name" aggregation="composite" xmi:id="_y"
    <type xmi:type="uml:PrimitiveType" href="pathmap://UML2:PrimitiveType.uml#name"
  </ownedAttribute>
  <ownedAttribute name="formerCapitals" aggregation="composite" xmi:id="_y"
    <upperValue value="*" xmi:type="uml:LiteralUnlimitedNatural" href="pathmap://UML2:LiteralUnlimitedNatural.uml#*"
    <lowerValue xmi:type="uml:LiteralInteger" xmi:id="_y" value="0"
  </ownedAttribute>
  <ownedOperation name="coup" xmi:id="_fHicEC2vEd-VCP9iY9GYHg"
    <ownedParameter direction="return" xmi:id="_le7b8C2vEd-VCP9iY9GYHg"
  </ownedOperation>
</packagedElement>
<packagedElement xmi:type="uml:Class" name="City" xmi:id="_Xq"
  <ownedAttribute name="name" aggregation="composite" xmi:id="_y"
    <type xmi:type="uml:PrimitiveType" href="pathmap://UML2:PrimitiveType.uml#name"
  </ownedAttribute>
  <ownedAttribute name="founded" aggregation="composite" xmi:id="_y"
    <type xmi:type="uml:PrimitiveType" href="pathmap://UML2:PrimitiveType.uml#name"
  </ownedAttribute>
</packagedElement>
<packagedElement xmi:type="uml:Association" xmi:id="_Xq"
  <ownedEnd name="cities" type="__KgpUC2vEd-VCP9iY9GYHg"
    <upperValue value="*" xmi:type="uml:LiteralUnlimitedNatural" href="pathmap://UML2:LiteralUnlimitedNatural.uml#*"
    <lowerValue xmi:type="uml:LiteralInteger" value="1"
  </ownedEnd>
</packagedElement>
  
```

Abstract Syntax

Graphical notation
(Class Diagram)

Textual notation
(XMI 2.1)

Multiplicity of Notations


■ One-to-many

- 1 abstract syntax → many textual and visual notations
 - Human-readable-writable textual or visual syntax
 - Textual syntax for exchange or storage (typically XML)
 - In case of UML, each diagram is only a partial view
- 1 abstract model → many concrete forms in 1 syntax!
 - Whitespace, diagram layout
 - Comments
 - Syntactic sugar
- 1 semantic interpretation → many abstract models
 - e.g. UML2 Attribute vs. one-way Association

METALEVELS

- Nodes


- Film, Human, Novel, Psycho (film), Book, Man, Thriller, Work of Art, The Bourne Identity (novel), Genre, Robert Ludlum, Sir Alfred Hitchcock, this book here:



- Edges

- written by, directed by, creator, subtype, instance

Metalevels





„Meta” relationship between models

«instance»


...

...



Metalevels in MOF


- **OMG's MOF (Meta Object Facility)**
 - 4-layer approach



- Why exactly four levels?

Metalevels in other approaches

■ EMF (Eclipse Modeling Framework)



■ Multi-level metamodeling

- VPM
- Ontologies

SEMANTICS


Semantics

- Semantics: the meaning of concepts in a language
 - Static: what does a snapshot of a model mean?
 - Dynamic: how does the model change/evolve/behave?
- Static Semantics
 - Interpretation of metamodel elements
 - Meaning of concepts in the abstract syntax
 - **Formal**: mathematical statements about the interpretation
 - E.g. formally defined semantics of OCL

Dynamic Semantics

- **Denotational** (Translational): translating concepts in one language to another language (called **semantic domain**)
 - „compiled”
 - E.g. explaining state machines as Petri-net
- **Operational**: modeling the operational behavior of language concepts
 - „interpreted”
 - Sometimes dynamic features are introduced only for formalizing dynamic semantics


Example: Denotational semantics




Metamodel

Meta (Language) level

Model level




Abstract syntax



Semantic Domain

Example: Operational semantics

Dynamic feature




Metamodel

Meta (Language) level

(Instance) Model level


At first, 'current' = 'initial'



Possible evolution: 'current' is redirected along a transition

Model in abstract syntax

Relationship of models



SUMMARY

Summary

- Metamodeling
 - Structural, formal definition of domains
 - Abstract syntax
- Domain-Specific Modeling
 - Concrete notations
 - Syntax known by experts of the field
- Metalevels
 - Meta-relationship between models
- Semantics
 - Formal dynamic → Denotational / Operational