### EMF-INCQUERY

Incremental evaluation of model queries over EMF models

### Gábor Bergmann, Ákos Horváth, Ábel Hegedüs, Zoltán Ujhelyi, Balázs Polgár,

István Ráth, Dániel Varró



Model Driven Software Development

Lecture 11





Budapest University of Technology and Economics Department of Measurement and Information Systems







### First of all...

- What is a model query?
  - A piece of code that looks for certain parts of the model.
- "Mathematically"
  - Query = set of constraints that have to be satisfied by (parts of) the model.
  - Result = set of model elements (element configurations) that satisfy the constraints of the query.
- A query engine?
  - Supports the definition/execution of model queries.





### Hi Jane, what do you do at work?



### Model queries

- Queries are at the heart of MDD.
  - Views
  - Reports
  - Generators
  - Validators
  - 0...
- Development issues
  - Complex queries are hard to write





### Issues with query development

- Hard to write?
- Your options
  - o Java (or C/C++, C#, ...)
  - Declarative languages (OCL, EMF Query 1-2, ...)

	Imperative query languages	Declarative query languages
Expressive power	🙁 (you write lots of code)	ⓒ (very concise)
Safety	Objective control over what happens at execution	☺⊗ (unintended side-effects)
Learning curve	③ (you already know it)	🙁 (may be difficult to learn)
Reusability	③ (standard OO practices)	⊗⊗ (???)
Performance	<ul> <li>☺☺ (considerable manual optimization necessary)</li> </ul>	☺☺ (depends on various factors)





### Issues with query execution

- Query performance
  - o = Execution time, as a function of
    - Query complexity
    - Model size / contents
    - Result set size
- Incrementality
  - Don't forget previously computed results!
  - Models changes are usually small, yet up-to-date query results are needed all the time.
  - Incremental evaluation is an essential, but not a very well supported feature.





### Model query engine wish list

- Declarative query language
  - Easy to learn
  - Good bindings to the imperative world (Java)
  - Safe yet powerful
  - Reusable
- High performance
  - Fast execution for complex queries over large models
  - First-class support for incremental execution
- Technology
  - Works with EMF out-of-the-box











### Problem 1: Expressiveness

- EMF Query (declarative)
  - Low expressiveness
  - Limited navigability
    - no "cycles"
- OCL (declarative)
  - Verbose
  - Lack of reusability support
  - Local constraints of a model element
  - Poor handling of recursion
  - $\rightarrow$ Challenging to use





### Problem 2: Incrementality

- Goal: Incremental evaluation of model queries
  - Incremental maintenance of result set
  - Avoid unnecessary re-computation
- Related work:
  - Constraint evaluation (by A. Egyed)
    - Arbitrary constraint description
      - Can be a bottleneck for complex constraints
      - Always local to a model element
    - Listen to model notifications
    - Calculate which constraints need to be reevaluated
  - No other related technology directly over EMF
  - Research MT tools: with varying degrees of support





### Problem 3: Performance

- Native EMF queries (Java program code): Lack of
  - Reverse navigation along references
  - Enumeration of all instances by type
  - Smart Caching

- Scalability of (academic) MT tools
  - Queries over >300K model elements (several proofs): FUJABA, VIATRA2 (Java), GrGEN, VMTS (.NET), Egyed's tools





### **EMF-IncQuery**

• **Expressive** declarative query language by graph patterns

Incremental cache of matches (materialized view)

• High performance for large models





### INCQUERY TECHNOLOGY OVERVIEW





### Technology Overview

- What is EMF-INCQuery?
  - Query language + incremental pattern matcher + development tools for EMF models
    - Works with any *(pure)* EMF application
    - Reusability by pattern composition
    - Arbitrary recursion, negation
    - Generic and parameterized model queries
    - Bidirectional navigability
    - Immediate access to all instances of a type
    - Complex change detection
- Benefits
  - Fully declarative + Scalable performance





### Contributions

- **Expressive** declarative query language by graph patterns
  - Capture local + global queries
  - Compositionality + Reusabilility
  - Transitive closure, Negation

Incremental cache of matches (materialized view)

• High performance for large models





### Example: School metamodel







### **IQPL - Simple queries**

```
pattern schools(Sch) = {
School(Sch);
}
pattern teachers(T) = {
Teacher(T);
}
pattern teachersOfSchool(T:Teacher,Sch:School) = {
School.teachers(Sch,T);
pattern studentOfSchool(S:Student,Sch:School) = {
Student.schoolClass.courses.school(S,Sch);
}
```











### IQPL – pattern composition and NAC

```
pattern coursesOfTeacher(T:Teacher, C:Course) = {
Teacher.courses(T,C);
}
pattern classesOfTeacher(T, SC) = {
find coursesOfTeacher(T,C);
Course.schoolClass(C,SC);
}
pattern teacherWithoutClass(T:Teacher) = {
neg find classesOfTeacher(T,SC);
}
```





### IQPL – pattern composition and NAC





### IQPL – transitive closure and disjunction

```
pattern friendlyTo(S1:Student, S2:Student) = {
Student.friendsWith(S1,S2);
} or {
Student.friendsWith(S2,S1);
}
pattern inTheCircleOfFriends(S1:Student,Someone:Student) = {
find friendlyTo+(S1,Someone);
S1!=Someone; // we do not allow self loops
}
pattern moreFriendsThan(S1 : Student, S2 : Student) {
N == count find inTheCircleOfFriends(S1, _Sx1);
M == count find inTheCircleOfFriends(S2, _Sx2);
check(N > M);
}
pattern theOnesWithTheBiggestCircle(S:Student) = {
neg find moreFriendsThan(Sx,S);
}
```





### IQPL – transitive closure and disjunction





### IQPL - Advanced model query







### **INCQUERY Development Tools**







### Contributions

- **Expressive** declarative query language by graph patterns
  - Capture local + global queries
  - Compositionality + Reusabilility
  - Transitive closure, Negation
- Incremental cache of matches (materialized view)
  - Cheap maintenance of cache (only memory overhead)
  - Notify about relevant changes (new match lost match)
  - Enable reactions to complex structural events

#### High performance for large models





### **RETE nets**





### EMF-INCQUERY Architecture v0.7



RG

T)



### IncQuery BASE

- Light-weight Java library for simple (yet very powerful) EMF model queries, with incremental evaluation
- Supports
  - Get all instance elements by type
  - Reverse navigation along references
  - Get model elements by attribute value/type
- Very easy to integrate into any EMF tool (pure Java) standalone!
- Same high performance and scalability as IncQuery
- Incremental transitive closure
  - Computation of e.g. reachability regions, connected model partitions, ...
  - Innovative new algorithm for general graphs





### **Development workflow**







### Generated pattern matchers

- INCQuery runtime
  - Eclipse plugin
    - Depends only on EMF and the INCQuery core
    - No VIATRA2 dependency!
  - Private code: pattern builders
    - Parameterize the RETE core and the generic EMF PM library
  - Public API: Pattern matcher access layer
    - Query interfaces
    - Data Transfer Objects (DTOs)
    - Used to integrate to EMF applications





### Generated Sample UI

- Command contributions
  - Project explorer, Navigation, Package Explorer
  - Perform model loading and query execution
  - Display the results on the UI
    - List (default)
      - Pretty prints a list of matches
    - Counter
      - Prints the number of matches





### IncQuery Runtime

Generic Query API	Generic Change API
Generated Query	Generated Change
API	API





### **Generated Query API**

### Basic queries

- Uses tuples (object arrays) corresponding to pattern parameters
- o Object[] getOneMatch()
- o Collection<Object[]> getAllMatches()
- Parameterized queries
  - o getOneMatch(Object X, Object Y, ...)
  - o getAllMatches(Object X, Object Y, ...)
  - Null input values = unbound input variables

# Based on pattern signature





### **Query Signatures**

 Data Transfer Objects generated for pattern signatures

find coursesOfTeacher(T,C); Course.schoolClass(C,SC);

pattern classesOfTeacher(T, SC) =

#### Signature query methods

- o classesOfTeacherSignature getOneMatch()
- classesOfTeacherSignature
   getOneMatchAsSignature(Object T,
   Object SC)
- Collection< classesOfTeacherSignature> getAllMatchesAsSignature()
- Collection< classesOfTeacherSignature> getAllMatchesAsSignature(Object T, Object SC)
- public class classesOfTeacherSignature
  - Object T;
  - Object SC;

}

 T, SC: EObjects or datatype instances (String, Boolean, ...)



### **Query Signatures**

 Data Transfer Objects generated for pattern signatures

find coursesOfTeacher(T,C); Course.schoolClass(C,SC);

pattern classesOfTeacher(T, SC) =

#### Signature query methods

- o classesOfTeacherSignature getOneMatch()
- o classesOfTeacherSignature
  getOneMatchAsSignature(Teacher T,
  SchoolClass SC)
- Collection< classesOfTeacherSignature> getAllMatchesAsSignature()
- Collection< classesOfTeacherSignature> getAllMatchesAsSignature(Teacher T, SchoolClass SC)
- T, SC: EObjects or datatype instances (String, Boolean, ...)

public class
 classesOfTeacherSignature
{
 Teacher T;

SchoolClass SC;



### Integrating into EMF applications

- Pattern matchers may be initialized for
  - Any EMF Notifier
    - e.g. Resources, ResourceSets
  - (TransactionalEditingDomains)
- Initialization
  - Possible at any time
  - Involves a single exhaustive model traversal (independent of the number of patterns, pattern contents etc.)





### Typical programming patterns

- 1. Initialize EMF model
  - $\circ$  Usually already done by your app  $\odot$
- 2. Initialize incremental PM whenever necessary
  - Typically: at loading time
- 3. Use the incremental PM for queries
  - Model updates will be processed transparently, with minimal performance overhead
  - Delta monitors can be used to track complex changes
- 4. Dispose the PM when not needed anymore
  - + Frees memory
  - Re-traversal will be necessary





### **BPMN** well-formedness rules

- Traditionally specified by OCL constraints
  - OCL constraints can be attached to any EMF instance model via EMF Validation
- Rules specified by
  - Tool developers
  - o (End users)





- Well-formedness rules
  - Express constraints not (easily) possible by metamodeling techniques
  - Ensure "sane" modeling conventions & best practices
  - Aid code generation by design-time validation
- Example:







### **IncQuery Validation Engine**

- Simple validation engine
  - Supports on-the-fly validation through incremental pattern matching and problem marker management
  - Uses IncQuery graph patterns to specify constraints
- Simulates EMF Validation markers
  - To ensure compatibility and easy integration with existing editors
  - Doesn't use EMF Validation directly
    - Execution model is different





### How it works – IncQuery Change API

- Track changes in the match set of patterns (new/lost)
- Delta monitors
  - May be initialized at any time
  - o DeltaMonitor.matchFoundEvents /
    DeltaMonitor.matchLostEvents
    - Queues of matches (tuples/Signatures) that have appeared/disappeared since initialization
- Typical usage
  - Listen to model manipulation (transactions)
  - After transaction commits:
    - Evaluate delta monitor contents and process changes
    - Remove processed tuples/Signatures from .matchFound/LostEvents





### Well-formedness rule specification by graph patterns

- WFRs: Invariants which must hold at all times
- Specification = set of elementary constraints + context
  - Elementary constraints: Query (pattern)
  - Location/context: a model element on which the problem marker will be placed
- Constraints by graph patterns
  - Define a pattern for the "bad case"
    - Either directly



- Or by negating the definition of the "good case"
- Assign one of the variables as the location/context



### **EXAMPLE** A simple BPMN validation constraint

- "All Behaviors must have an Operation as their specification."
  - Otherwise they do not have any
     "interface" through which they could be accessed → "dead code"
- Bad case:

```
pattern loopingActivity(A : Activity)= {
Activity.looping(A, true);
}
@Constraint(location = "A", message = "$A.name$ is a bad looping
activity", severity = "warning" )
pattern badLoopingActivity(A : Activity)= {
find loopingActivity(A);
Activity.name(A, Name);
check(!(Name as String).startsWith("Loop"));
}
```



### **EXAMPLE** A simple BPMN validation constraint

- "All Behaviors must have an Operation as their specification."
  - Otherwise they do not have any
     "interface" through which they could be accessed → "dead code"

Identify pattern variable "Activity" as the location

```
Bad case:
```

```
pattern loopingActivity(A : Activity)=
Activity.looping(A, true);
}
@Constraint(location = "A", message = "$A.name$ is a bad looping
activity", severity = "warning" )
pattern badLoopingActivity(A : Activity)= {
find loopingActivity(A);
Activity.name(A, Name);
check(!(Name as String).startsWith("Loop"));
```



### Generated Sample Validation project

- Java classes: Constraint descendants
- Plugin.xml
  - Constraint registration
  - UI integration
    - Editor ID from genmodel





### Validation lifecycle

- Constraint violations
  - Represented by Problem Markers (Problems view)
  - Marker text is updated if affected elements are changed in the model
  - Marker removed if violation is no longer present
- Lifecycle
  - Editor bound validation (markers removed when editor is closed)
  - Incremental maintenance not practical outside of a running editor





### Validation UI integration

- A menu item (command) to start the validation engine
- Generic (part of the IncQuery Validation framework)
  - GMF editor command
    - Appears in all GMF-based editor's context menu
  - Sample Reflective Editor command
    - Appears on the toolbar
- Generated
  - EMF generated tree editor command
    - Appears on the toolbar





### EXAMPLE GUI - INCQUERY Model Validation







### **PERFORMANCE BENCHMARKING**





### Challenges

- Performance evaluations are hard
  - o Fair?
  - Reliable?
  - o Reproducible?
  - Can the results be generalized?
  - Benchmark example:

on-the-fly constraint validation over AUTOSAR models

- Conference presentation at MODELS 2010
- Motivation: the Embedded Architect Tool of OptXware Ltd.
- AUTOSAR models can be very large (>>1m elements)





### What is measured?

- Sample models were generated
  - matches are scarce relative to overall model size
- On-the-fly validation is modeled as follows:
  - 1. Compute initial validation results
  - 2. Apply randomly distributed, small changes
  - 3. Re-compute validation results
- Measured: execution times of
  - Initialization (model load + RETE construction)
  - Model manipulation operations (negligible)
  - Validation result (re)computation
- Compared technologies
  - MDT-OCL
  - Plain Java code that an average developer would write





### IncQuery Results

- Hardware: normal desktop PC (Core2, 4GB RAM)
- Model sizes up to 1.5m elements
- Initialization times (resource loading + first validation)
  - $\circ$  <1 sec for model sizes below 50000 elements
  - Up to 40 seconds for the largest model (grows linearly with the model size)
- Recomputation times
  - Within error of measurement (=0), **independent of model size**
  - Retrieval of matches AND complex changes is instantaneous
- Memory overhead
  - o <50 MB for model sizes below 50000 elements</p>
  - Up to 1GB for the largest model (grows linearly with model size)
- How does it compare to native code / OCL?





### Initialization time



RG

Т



### **Recomputation time**







### Performance overview

#### SSG and iSignal validation pattern in model family A

	-	EMF/Java MDT-OCL									
Model Elements #	Model size [MB]	Res [s]	iSignal [s]	SSG [s]	Res [s]	iSignal [s]	SSG [s]	Res [s]	iSignal [s]	SSG [s]	lem OH [MB]
2 373	30	0.06	0.00	0.25	0.13	0.16	3.58	0.17	0.00	0.00	3
4 748	31	0.08	0.00	0.94	0.16	0.17	13.53	0.22	0.00	0.00	6
9 449	32	0.13	0.01	3.67	0.20	0.19	52.48	0.30	0.00	0.00	12
18 850	33	0.22	0.01	14.52	0.30	0.22	210.48	0.45	0.01	0.00	22
37 721	37	0.42	0.01	58.56	0.47	0.27		0.75	0.01	0.01	45
75 692	43	0.78	0.02	239.53	0.86	0.33		1.58	0.01	0.01	92
151 359	55	1.81	0.03		1.84	0.53		3.22	0.02	0.02	187
302 778	81	3.63	0.06		3.64	0.88		6.19	0.02	0.02	373
605 402	135	7.14	0.09		7.48	1.63		12.00	0.02	0.03	746

Channel validation pattern in model family B								
			EMF/Java	Ν	IDT-OCL		INCQuery	
Model Elements #	Model size [MB]	Res [s]	Channel [s]	Res [s]	Channel [s]	Res [s]	Channel [s]	Mem OH [MB]
2 972	30	0.06	0.00	0.14	0.17	0.19	0.00	2
6 237	31	0.09	0.02	0.16	0.22	0.27	0.00	4
12 708	32	0.16	0.00	0.25	0.31	0.38	0.00	8
24 885	34	0.28	0.03	0.34	0.33	0.89	0.00	14
47 228	38	0.49	0.06	0.53	0.48	1.28	0.00	28
90 586	44	1.13	0.09	1.20	0.80	2.41	0.00	55
180 389	58	1.94	0.19	2.05	1.41	4.56	0.00	111
370 660	91	4.06	0.39	4.08	2.50	9.00	0.00	225
752 172	156	8.09	0.80	8.11	5.00	20.38	0.00	456
1 558 100	295	17.28	1.59	17.39	10.13	40.22	0.00	943

Legend: Res - resource loading time

Mem OH – memory overhead





### Assessment of the benchmark

- High performance complex queries are hard to write manually in Java.
- IncQuery can do the trick nicely as long as you have enough RAM.
- Metamodel structure has huge impact on performance when using "conventional" query technologies such as OCL, due to

Lack of reverse navigation

Lack of type enumeration (.allInstances())





### Contributions

- **Expressive** declarative query language by graph patterns
  - Capture local + global queries
  - Compositionality + Reusabilility
  - Transitive closure, Negation
- Incremental cache of matches (materialized view)
  - Cheap maintenance of cache (only memory overhead)
  - Notify about relevant changes
  - Enable reactions to complex structural events
- High performance for large models
  - Linear overhead for loading
  - Instant response for queries
  - > 1 million model elements (on a desktop PC)









## Closing thoughts

- On-the-fly validation is only one scenario
  - Early model-based analysis
  - Language engineering in graphical DSMLs
  - Soft-inter connections
  - Model execution/analysis (stochastic GT)
  - Tool integration
  - Model optimization / constraint solving
  - Design Space Exploration

0 ...

#### The tutorial examples

- Do not make use of advanced features such as parameterized queries or complex structural constraints (recursion)
- Are meant only as a starting point
- The project website has many more examples!





### Model transformations based on IncQuery

- High performance model transformations
  - Hybrid query approach
    - Use IncQuery for
      - Complex queries
      - Frequently used queries
      - Parameterized queries
    - Plain Java for simple queries (saves RAM)
  - Java for control structure & model manipulation
- High-level transformation languages (VIATRA2, ATL, Epsilon, ...) could be "compiled" to run on this infrastructure
- Ongoing research: automatic mapping of SPARQL, OCL & others to the IncQuery language





### Wish list IncQuery features 😳

- Declarative query language
  - Easy to learn
  - Good bindings to the imperative world (Java)
  - Safe yet powerful
  - Reusable
- High performance
  - Fast execution for complex queries over large models
  - First-class support for incremental execution
- Technology
  - Works with EMF out-of-the-box





### Pointers

- Pointers
  - Eclipse webpage:
    - http://www.eclipse.org/incquery/
  - "Official webpage"
    - https://incquery.net/
      - Documentation, language reference
      - Tutorials
      - Examples
      - Source code
      - ...



