## 5th Home Assignment – Safety Analysis

# **Evaluating an Adaptive Cruise Control**

In this phase the team should focus on the safety of a crucial component of the autonomous vehicle: the Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC) component. Basic Cruise Control can maintain the speed set by the driver by accelerating or decelerating. ACC extends this functionality with sensing the distance of the vehicle in front of us and adjusting the our speed to keep a safe following distance. As a first step, we will focus on only the speed information (and not the distance yet). Some details of the ACC architecture have changed since the functional evaluation by simulation (in the previous assignment), treat it as an independent design for the same functionality.

The speed is measured using two different methods simultaneously: 1) an 3DM-GX5-10 IMU (Inertial Measurement Unit) provides movement data directly based on its internal sensors, while 2) the movement of the four wheels are measured independently using encoders, one for each wheel. The data of the encoders is fed into a microcontroller, which runs an algorithm that provides usable speed data if at least half of the encoders are working correctly. The aggregated data from this microcontroller is then sent to the main logic unit.

The two speed measurements are processed by the *main logic unit* of the ACC, which is another microcontroller. The maximum of the two measurements is used to increase safety. In order to eliminate single points of failure, the *main logic unit* is duplicated.

A small FPGA is used to compare the outputs of the logic units, along with some communication protocol functionality (error correcting codes, etc.) to make the communication channel reliable. This FPGA can implement other simple comparison or voting procedures instead of the simple equality check if needed. The failure of the communication channel can be ignored for now because of the employed communication protocol. The voter FPGA is also duplicated, and they communicate with each other, checking each other's output. If one detects the failure of the other, it commands the system to a safe emergency shut down.

Each microcontroller's power is provided by the main power supply through a dedicated 5V power regulator (each microcontroller has its own regulator). If the corresponding regulator fails to provide the correct voltage, the microcontroller may start to operate outside of the rated working range, which leads to non-deterministic behavior. Every other component that needs external power is supplied from the main power supply without any additional regulator in the power chain. (If voltage conversion would be logically needed for such components, you can treat it as already being included in the component's failure rate.) The failure of the main power supply can be ignored in the current design phase.

At the end of each day (treat a day as 24h, regardless of the actual duration of active operation), the vehicles return to the maintenance station for *full maintenance*. We can assume for now that this maintenance leads to full recovery, meaning that the vehicles start each day as if they were new.

The failure rates for some components are given in the following table:

Component type	Failure rate (FIT)
Encoder	580
FPGA	11
5V regulator	15

We do not know the exact failure rate of the microcontrollers, but they are SIL2 certified, and are used in a continuous operation mode. The reliability properties of the IMU can be found in its public datasheet (note that in practice, vendors often use MTBF instead of MTTF because repair time is negligible).

### Tasks

#### Safety analysis

- a. Draw a fault tree model of the ACC with the event "The ACC commands the vehicle to accelerate when it should not" as the top event.
- b. Qualitative analysis: What are the minimal cut sets? Are there any SPoFs?
- c. Quantitative analysis: Calculate the probability that the top event happens before the daily maintenance on a given day. To give a conservative estimate, assume that a faulty component has the worst possible behavior. Based on this, what is the probability that the top event happens in the 4-year mission time of a bus? Hint: probabilities of the basic events should be computed based on the fault rates of the components, assuming an exponential distribution. Fault rates might have to be derived from other metrics.
- d. The target probability for the 4-year mission time is  $10^{-6}$ . Introduce new redundancies to the system (as few as possible) so that the target is reached and provide a fault tree for the new architecture. If the newly introduced components provide redundant data, describe how the final value is derived and how this affects the fault tree.



#### Availability analysis

- e. Draw a fault tree model of the original ACC design with the event "The ACC is unavailable for some reason" as the top event. Unlike in the previous part, this includes the safe error states. Note: If the system is in an undetected dangerous state (as described in the previous task), it may seem available, but the chance of this is negligible. We suggest to ignore these cases while computing availability.
- f. What is the *availability value* of the ACC? To give a conservative estimate, assume that a faulty component is not available.
- g. (IMSc) The target unavailability of the ACC as derived from the overall target unavailability of the vehicle is  $10^{-4}$ . Introduce new redundancies to the system (as few as possible) so that this target and the safety requirement in task d. are both satisfied provide the two fault trees for the new architecture.

### **Extra Homework Conditions**

Modeling and calculations may be performed in a suitable tool (e.g. Open Reliability Editor) or manually (e.g. in yEd or on paper) – the only requirement is that the solution must be thoroughly documented, including (in addition to the usual elements) the calculation of basic event probabilities, every fault tree that has been built, and either the calculations or the way the chosen tool was used to derive the final results.

In other words, the solution must be fully reproducible based on the documentation, as no other artifact is delivered this time.