

Verification of Program Properties with Dependent Types

Gujgiczer Anna

Software Verification and Validation - Homework Presentation

Fall semester, 2020/21

Theory

Type theory is the academic study of type systems.

Intuitionistic type theory¹

Intuitionistic type theory or constructive type theory is at the same time a programming language and logic.

Per Martin-Löf

- wanted to provide an alternative foundation of mathematics
- designed the type theory on the principles of mathematical constructivism

¹P. Martin-Löf, G. Sambin, *Intuitionistic type theory*, (Bibliopolis Naples, 1984), vol. 9.

Propositions as types

Classical A proposition is something which is either true or false

$Prop = Bool$

- If P is true, then $P \vee Q$ is true.

Constructive A proposition is something for which there can be an evidence or not

$Prop = Set$

- If x is a proof for P , then $inl(x)$ is a proof for $P \vee Q$.
- An f function is evidence for $P \rightarrow Q$ if for every $x \in P$, $f(x) \in Q$. The type of the f function is $P \rightarrow Q$

Fun fact: $P \vee \neg P$ is not a tautology in the constructive way.

In dependent type theory we can parameterise types over values as well as other types.

Example

$A : \text{Set}$ A is a type

$\mathbb{N} : \text{Set}$ \mathbb{N} is a type

$\text{Vect} : \text{Set} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{Vect}(A, n)$ is an n length list with values of type A .

Curry-Howard Equivalence²

For every proposition we can associate a type.

Type Martin-Löf's dependent type theory

Proposition First-order logic

²W. A. Howard, *To HB Curry: essays on combinatory logic, lambda calculus and formalism* **44**, 479–490 (1980).

Rules for constructing types and proofs

Example

Formation rule for \vee : If $A : \text{Set}$ and $B : \text{Set}$, then $A \vee B : \text{Set}$

Introduction rule for \vee : If $x : A$, then $inl(x) : A \vee B$,
if $y : B$, then $inr(y) : A \vee B$.

Formation rule for \rightarrow : If $A : \text{Set}$ and $B : \text{Set}$, then $A \rightarrow B : \text{Set}$

Introduction rule for \rightarrow : If from a context where $x : A$, we can
derive $y : B$, then in an empty context $\lambda x.y : A \rightarrow B$

It is like a computer program.

Interactive proof assistants

Proving a proposition using rules, interactively.

Artefact: formal proof.

Some examples: Agda, Isabelle, Ivor, Coq

The formal proof is the program. Usage in V&V:

- Proving a specification with a theorem prover
- Giving a precise type of the program

Avoiding runtime errors

With dependent type theory we can formulate stronger syntax rules.

Example (Adding vectors)

Usually $[a, b] + [c, d, e]$ causes runtime error

Dependent Types $[a, b]$ and $[c, d, e]$ have different types
 $\text{Vec}(A, 2)$ and $\text{Vec}(A, 3)$, therefore '+' is not well
typed.

Hidden implementation details

Type theory is designed in a way, that we can not talk about the details of how things are actually implemented

In homotopy type theory we even have the Univalence Principle: $(=) \cong (\cong)$. If two things behaves the same, they are the same.

Practice

Constructing Correct Circuits: Verification of Functional Aspects of Hardware Specifications with Dependent Types³

- defined two number representations
- defined two additions
 - binary number
 - natural number
- proved properties for natural number additions
- proved the two additions are equivalent
- deducing binary addition is correct

³E. Brady *et al.*, *Trends in Functional Programming* **8**, 159–176 (2007).





Formal Certification of a Compiler Back-end⁴

Used a proof assistant to program a compiler from Cminor (a C-like imperative language) to PowerPC assembly code.

⁴X. Leroy, presented at the Conference record of the 33rd ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT symposium on Principles of programming languages, pp. 42–54.

- Type theory serves as alternative to set theory as a foundation of mathematics
- It is like a programming language (a program is a constructive proof)
- Significant theoretical interest
- Limited practical examples
- Usefulness in computer science
 - type checking instead of runtime error
 - proving specifications
 - hiding implementation details

Questions

-  W. A. Howard, *To HB Curry: essays on combinatory logic, lambda calculus and formalism* **44**, 479–490 (1980).
-  P. Martin-Löf, G. Sambin, *Intuitionistic type theory*, (Bibliopolis Naples, 1984), vol. 9.
-  X. Leroy, presented at the Conference record of the 33rd ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT symposium on Principles of programming languages, pp. 42–54.
-  E. Brady, J. McKinna, K. Hammond, *Trends in Functional Programming* **8**, 159–176 (2007).